

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,067 12/11/2003		12/11/2003	Katsuichi Minami	MAT-8493US	1264
23122	7590	01/17/2006		EXAMINER	
RATNER		L	WILLIAMS, MARK A		
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			3676	

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/735,067	MINAMI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark A. Williams	3676			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat sply received by the Office later than three months after the mad d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	,—	nis action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	on of Claims	Expano quayro, roco c.b. (1, 1)	,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the later of the later of the later of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Application in the interest in the intere	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-11, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohacik et al., US Patent 5,682,644, in view of Sasame et al., US Patent 3,929,596. Bohacik provides an opening and closing device adapted to be coupled to a moveable part of a second device, the opening and closing device comprising a case 11 in substantially tubular shape including a first end face near 12 having a first through-hole in a center thereof; a stator 25 facing the first end face inside the case, the stator having a portion 16 extending from a stator face; a rotor 15 accommodated in the case and facing the stator, the rotor being rotatable with respect to the stator; a spring 42 for pushing the rotor to the stator; wherein the portion of the stator extending from the stator face is disposed through an opening in a slide member in the form of a friction bushing 19. The stator has a stator cam 24 projected toward opposite to the first end face, wherein the rotor has

Art Unit: 3676

a rotor cam 28 projected toward the stator wherein the spring pushes the rotor in a direction in which the rotor cam is contacted with the stator cam. A cover 44 for covering an opening of a second end face of the case (end of the tubular casing near 46), wherein the cover is rotatable with respect to the case, wherein the spring is interposed in a contracted manner between the cover and the rotor. A fixed shaft 48 is provided as claimed.

Bohacik discloses the claimed invention except the bushing 19 also being a lubrication means, including a metal plate with a lubricant layer as claimed, were the plate can be a disk washer. Sasame teaches the general known concept of using a coating on sliding metal members or the like for the purpose of providing lubricating properties, thereby reducing frictional ware. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to use such a member in the device of Bohacik for the purpose of providing lubricating properties, thereby reducing frictional ware. Although Bohacik does not explicitly disclose a metal disk, it is well established in the art of hinges, bearings, and like members to use such members for such purposes, and is considered an obvious modification. Such a modification is not critical to the design, nor would it have solved any stated problem.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

3. Claims 6-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohacik et al. in view of Sasame et al. in further view of Mischenko, US Patent 6,065,187. Bohacik does not explicitly provide teaching of second and third sliding members as claimed. Mischenko provides this teaching at 2808 and 2810, for the purpose of reducing frictional contact between elements. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included in the design of Bohcik such a modification for the purpose of reducing frictional contact between elements.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohacik et al. in view of Sasame et al. in further view of Murray, US Patent 5,996,178 and Bacroft, US Patent 4,713,861. The combination does not teach the first end face of the case being formed of a molded member of lubricating material, and the first end face serves as the lubricant means; nor the stator being formed of a molded member of lubricating material, and the stator serves as the lubricant means. Both Murray and Bacroft teach hinge elements being of molded material having lubricating properties, for the purpose of reducing friction between elements. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have included in

Art Unit: 3676

the design of the combination such a modification, for the purpose of reducing friction between elements of the hinge.

Page 5

- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohacik et al. in view of Sasame et al. in further view of Japanese Patent JP 6323325 A ('325). The combination disclosed the claimed invention except teaching fluoride in the lubricating material. Patent '325 teaches a lubricating material containing a fluoride, for the purpose of improving the wear resistance of the material. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included in the design of the combination such a modification, for the purpose of improving the wear resistance of the material.
- 6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohacik et al. in view of Sasame et al. in further view of Wilcox et al., US Patent 5,628,089. Although the combination does not explicitly include first and second foldable housings and the case being to the first housing and the stator fixed to the second housing, as claimed, such an arrangement is well known in the art and has been used in a variety of electronic devices. Wilcox shows such an arrangement in the application of a cell phone, including a similar hinge arrangement as claimed

Art Unit: 3676

by applicant. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included such a modification in the device of the combination, for the purpose of gaining the benefit of such a hinge design in the application of an electronic device, such as a cell phone.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In view of applicant's amendments, new art has been discovered and applied in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 11/25/05

BRIAN E. GLESSNER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7